FILED

2009 DEC 23 PM 1:53

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

ANDREW C. BAILEY
2500 N. Page Springs Rd
Cornville, AZ 86325
928 634-4335
Self-Represented Litigant

.]

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Chapter 11 ANDREW C. BAILEY Plaintiff Case #: 2:09-bk-06979-PHX-RTBP 2:09-ap-1731 VS WELLS FARGO BANK NA a/k/a WELLS **FARGO HOME MORTGAGE** (WELLS FARGO) COMPLAINT FOR DISCOVERY, EVIDENTIARY HEARING, ENFORCEMENT OF QUALIFIED LEHMAN BROTHERS BANK FSB WRITTEN REQUEST AND (LEHMAN BROTHERS) TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE CO (FIRST AMERICAN) JOHN DOES "1-1000" **Defendants**

Plaintiff sues Defendants to compel discovery, to answer the Qualified Written Request (QWR) and Debt Validation Letter (DVL) pursuant to the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA), and to stay any foreclosure or other action before and until the resolution of this complaint, and states:

24

25

Case 2:09-ap-01731-RTBP Doc 1 Filed 12/23/09 Entered 12/23/09 14:00:15 Desc Pleading Page 1 of 11

I. Jurisdiction, Venue and Statutory Predicate

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A). Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 2. The primary statutory predicate for the relief requested herein is Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7001(2) to determine the validity, priority, or extent of a lien or other interest in property, other than a proceeding under Rule 4003(d), and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7001(9) to obtain a declaratory judgment relating to the foregoing. Other Rules may apply.

II. Parties Known and Unknown

- 3. There appear to be multiple known and unknown stakeholders with potential claims relating to the subject Property and mortgage "loan". Plaintiff seeks discovery as to exactly who these stakeholders and other parties are, what their respective rights are under the law, and how much, if anything, he owes them.
- 4. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Arizona living in and otherwise using and occupying his home located at 2500 North Page Springs Rd, Cornville, AZ 86325 (hereafter the "Property")
- 5. Defendant WELLS FARGO is and was, at all times material hereto, a corporation doing business in the State of Arizona. Defendant WELLS FARGO is and was, at all times

material hereto, the alleged "originator" and "servicer" of the subject loan.

- 6. Defendant LEHMAN BROTHERS is and was, at all times material hereto, a corporation or a bankrupt corporation doing business in the State of Arizona. The current status and standing of Defendant LEHMAN BROTHERS in the instant case is unknown to Plaintiff. The last-known address for Defendant LEHMAN BROTHERS is c/o ASC, 3476 Stateview Blvd, Fort Mill, SC 29715.
- 7. Defendant FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE CO is and was, at all times material hereto, a corporation doing business in the State of Arizona. The current status and standing of Defendant FIRST AMERICAN in the instant case is unknown to Plaintiff.
- 8. Defendants JOHN DOES "1-1000" are undisclosed, unnamed and unknown investors, participants, corporate or other entities, conduits, trustees, servicers, custodians and others in a commonly-applied mortgage securitization scheme that may or may not have included the subject loan and who may or may not be investors or certificateholders in an mortgage-backed investment vehicle. or vehicles which may or may not be secured in whole or in part by the subject loan.

III. Background Material Facts and Grounds for Complaint

9. On April 8th, 2009 three of Plaintiff's unsecured creditors filed an involuntary petition against the Plaintiff for relief under Chapter 7 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code").

17. Subsequently, on or about August 18, 2008, Defendant WELLS FARGO "granted, assigned and transferred all beneficial interest" in the Note and mortgage to Defendant LEHMAN BROTHERS. This assignment occurred less than one month prior to Defendant LEHMAN BROTHERS 's bankruptcy, at a time when the subject "loan" was already allegedly in default. 18. On that same date, August 18, 2008, Defendant WELLS FARGO recorded at the Yavapai County Recorder's office a Notice of Trustee's Sale naming Defendant LEHMAN 19. On August 22, 2008, Plaintiff received a letter from law firm Tiffany & Bosco PA stating that Defendant WELLS FARGOis "the creditor to whom the debt is owed" in apparent contradiction to the same law firm's filings with the Yavapai county Recorder's office four days earlier, as set forth above. No mention is made of Defendant LEHMAN BROTHERS in the 20. On May 28, 2009 Defendant WELLS FARG through its attorneys Tiffany & Bosco PA filed a Motion for Relief from Stay stating in the included Proposed Order that "WELLS FARGO BANK NA is the current beneficiary:" This statement appears to contradict the recorded 21. Defendant WELLS FARGO has apparently taken the position that either Defendant LEHMAN BROTHERS previously assigned the mortgage assigned to Defendant LEHMAN BROTHERS as to the Property back to Defendant WELLS FARGO, or that a photocopy of the original unassigned and unendorsed note dated June 9, 2006 executed months and years prior to

subsequent recorded and unrecorded assignments and sales is sufficient foundation for Defendant WELLS FARGO's May 28, 2009 foreclosure action.

- 22. No such Assignment or currently enforceable Note has been produced to the Plaintiff or the Court, and Defendant WELLS FARGO has failed to prove that it is the holder of all rights under the Note, which would permit the legal holder thereof to declare a default which would trigger a foreclosure.
- 23. Further, Defendant WELLS FARGO as alleged or implied "trustee-in-fact" or "attorney-in-fact" for unnamed 'Certificateholders' of an unidentified series of mortgage-backed securities, has failed to demonstrate that it, and not the Certificateholders, is the party with the true ownership interest in the Mortgage the subject of this action, or that the Certificateholders have acceded or legally assigned their rights to and under the subject Mortgage to Defendant WELLS FARGO, specifically the right to seek a foreclosure.
- 24. As such, Defendant WELLS FARGO has not demonstrated that it has suffered an actual or threatened injury as a consequence of any default, which distinct and palpable injury is legally required under applicable Federal and State law in order for Defendant WELLS FARGO to satisfy the legal prerequisite to prove that it has a sufficient personal stake in and legal standing to institute the foreclosure on the Property.
- 25. As a severance of the ownership and possession of the original Note and Mortgage has apparently occurred and as the true owner and holder of both the original Note and Mortgage are unknown as a result of one or more alleged assignments and the parsed sale of certain rights under the Note in part to at least one third party (Defendant LEHMAN

Case 2:09-ap-01731-RTBP

Entered 12/23/09 14:00:15

Defendants from taking any further foreclosure or other judicial or non-judicial action before the resolution of the foregoing, thereby maintaining the status quo at least until discovery has been conducted.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court order and grant

(a) an evidentiary hearing on the merits, and (b) discovery and enforcement in obtaining all relevant information, and (c) enforcement of the disclosure requirements of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, and (d) the production of documents, and (f) the opportunity to bring an expert witness or witnesses before the court, and (g) a declaratory judgment relating to the foregoing, and (f) a temporary restraining order as set forth above, and (e) such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated December 22, 2009

Andrew C. Bailey, Plaintiff

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SH (Instructions on Reverse)	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)				
PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS				
ANDREW C. BAILEY	WELLS FARGO BANKNA LEHMAN BROTHERS BANK				
	ZOHN DOES "1 -1000"				
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORNEYS (If Knopp) Liftany & Boseo, 2525 E. Camebret				
NONE - Pro SE	Pacrix, AZ 85016-9200				
PARTY (Check One Box Only)	PARTY (Check One Box Only)				
Debtor U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin	Debtor U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin				
Creditor Other	✓ Creditor				
Trustee	Trustee				
15 USC 1601 NATUR	SE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED) 7037, FROM 16-37, 12 USC 26015(8) RE OF SUIT n as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)				
FRBP 7001(1) - Recovery of Money/Property 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property	FRBP 7001(6) - Dischargeability (continued)				
	61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support				
12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference	68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury				
13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer	63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan				
14-Recovery of money/property - other	64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other				
FRBP 7001(2) - Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien	than domestic support) 65-Dischargeability - other				
21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property	STDRP 7001(7) - Injunctive Police				
FRBP 7001(3) - Approval of Sale of Property	71-Injunctive relief - reinstatement of stay 💬 N				
31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	72-Injunctive relief - other				
FRBP 7001(4) - Objection/Revocation of Discharge	FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest				
41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)					
FRBP 7001(5) - Revocation of Confirmation	81-Subordination of claim or interest FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment				
51-Revocation of confirmation	2. 91-Declaratory judgment				
FRBP 7001(6) - Dischargeability	FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action				
66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims	01-Determination of removed claim or cause				
62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation,	Other				
actual fraud	SS-SIPA Case - 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa et.seq.				
67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny (continued next column)	02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case)				
Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law	Check if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23				
Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$				
	Af and TILA Order				
Case 2:09-ap-01731-RTBP Doc 1 Filed	12/23/09 Entered 12/23/09 14:00:15 Desc				
	Page 10 of 11				

BANKR	UPTCY CASE IN WHIC	CH THIS ADVERSARY PR	OCEEDIN	NG ARISES		
NAME OF DEBTOR ANDREW C. BAILEY				BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. PHX-RTSP		
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING		DIVISIONAL OFFICE PHX		NAME OF JUDGE BANN		
	RELATED ADV	ERSARY PROCEEDING	(IF ANY)			
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDAN	DEFENDANT ADV		ERSARY PROCEEDING NO.		
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING I		DIVISIONAL OFFICE	NAME OF JUDG			
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY	(OR PLAINTIFF)	Baily				
OCC/CC/CI TAND	9 PRINT NAM	E OF ATTORNEY (OR PI	LAINTIFF	BAILEY		
					Print Form	

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, if it is required by the court. In some courts, the cover sheet is not required when the adversary proceeding is filed electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Files (CM/ECF) system. (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and the defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and in the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff scrept script of the grant and so sign.

I Enterpresented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

Pleading Page 11 of 11